

Survey:

What is your name? Matthew pearce

What is your position (if applicable)? Planning Advisor

What is your organisation (if applicable)? Environment Agency

1. Which part of the Plan are you commenting on?

Policy: Paragraph: Table: Map:

2. Do you consider the Plan is:

Legally compliant? Yes: No:

Sound? Yes: No:

3. If you believe the Plan to be unsound, is this because it is not:

Positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with national policy

4. Please give reasons for your objection or support:

We are pleased to see this policy requires development to mitigate and adapt to climate change over its intended lifetime and that all major non-residential development to achieve a BREEAM standard of 'Excellent'.

Paragraphs 6.7.17 and 6.7.18 are also beneficial to the plan, providing water efficiency targets as well as addressing the potential wastewater infrastructure capacity issues to ensure sustainable development and the environmental impact sewer outfall can have.

5. What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound?

6. If your representation is seeking a change, do you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

7. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

If you would like to comment on additional policies, please fix another sheet to this.

Please indicate if you wish your personal data to be used for reasons other than identifying your representation and being contacted in relation to that representation.